

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,952		04/12/2004	Philip James Ions	T2211-9059US01	2378	
181	7590	10/31/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MILES &	STOCK	BRIDGE PC	KASTLER,	KASTLER, SCOTT R		
1751 PINNA SUITE 500	1751 PINNACLE DRIVE SUITE 500				PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN,	VA 22	102-3833	1742			
			•	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2009	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathscr{M}
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/821,952	IONS ET AL.
Office Action Summa	iry	Examiner	Art Unit
		Scott Kastler	1742
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	THE MAILING DA rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 his communication. simum statutory period wifor reply will, by statute, a months after the mailing of the statute.	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
· — · · ·	2b)☐ This andition for allowand	ptember 2005. action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro contract parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdraw . d to.		·
Application Papers			
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	il 2004 is/are: a)[ny objection to the d cluding the correction	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ Non 1.⊠ Certified copies of the p	e of: priority documents priority documents propies of the priori pernational Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applicat ty documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Residual Statement (s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burrow. Burrow teaches a direct smelting plant with water cooled walls (14) including, components a-i of instant claim 1 recited in claim 1 of Burrow, the solids feed means of instant claims 2-10 disclosed at (27) of the drawings, and claims 11-13 of Burrow for example, the crane means of instant claims 18 and 19 disclosed at col. 5 lines 30-50 for example, and the tapping means of claims 20-25 disclosed at claims 6 and 14-17 of Burrow for example, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims except the use or specific placement of platforms or doors for allowing the plant to be accessible by operators, although Burrow does state, at col. 1 lines 63-65 for example that necessary access and handling facilities should be provided for operation of the plant, and also, at col. 5 lines 43-50 for example, that access doors for access to the interior of the vessel should be provided, although Burrow does not state where these platforms (access facilities) and doors should be placed in the plant. However, because the smelting plant of Burrow is arranged and operated in substantially the same manner as that of the instant claims, and would therefore require operators at substantially the same locations as in the instant claims, motivation to place the platforms and doors suggested by Burrow in the locations recited by the instant claims as required for efficient operation of the plant of the type disclosed by Burrow, would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 9/15/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that Burrow is restricted to a circumferential operational arrangement while the instant claims are not and that Burrow does not disclose the placement of end metal and end slag tapping means located at a lower height that the slag and metal tapping means are not persuasive because claim 3 of Burrow for example, teaches a vertically lower tapping means (a slag draining means, which would also serve as a metal draining means) below the slag and metal tapping means, and the instant claims do not prohibit the use of a circumferential operational arrangement. Applicant's further argument that Burrow does not specifically recite the use of access means is not persuasive because as stated in the above rejection, Burrow recites that employment of access means where access is required is desirable.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1742

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742